

DRAFT

1
2
3
4

MINUTES OF THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION

5
6
7
8

MEETING OF July 5, 2023

9 A meeting of the Conservation Commission was held on July 5, 2023 in the Knightly Meeting
10 Room, at Salem Town Hall.

11
12 **PRESENT:** Ruth Tanner Isaks, Chairman; Georgia Brust, Vice-Chairman; Claire Karibian,
13 Secretary; DJ Bettencourt, Town Council Rep; Linda Harvey; Glen Edwards,
14 Alternate; and Crayton Brubaker, Community Development Program Manager.

15
16 The meeting was called to order with the Pledge of Allegiance. Chairman Isaks introduced the
17 commission members.

18
19 **NEW BUSINESS**

- 20
21 1. 89 BRADY AVENUE LLC – Request to impact 450 sq. ft. of wetlands for the construction
22 of a proposed cul-de-sac and driveway crossing for 11 lot, open space preservation
23 subdivision at 89 Brady Avenue, Map 132, Lot 11635.

24
25 David Jordan, engineer/land surveyor with Greenman Pedersen Inc. (GPI) in Salem, is
26 representing the applicant 89 Brady Avenue Realty Trust. He then gave plans to the
27 Commission. We submitted to the Commission plans showing a 12-lot subdivision of the
28 property at 89 Brady Avenue. It is a 40-acre parcel, with a single-family house lot on it currently.
29 There is a little history here. There was an approved subdivision on this property from 2005. At
30 the time, it was a different applicant and the proposal at that time was for a 16-lot subdivision.
31 The plans he just gave you show those 16 lots. At that time, there were a total of 5 wetland
32 impacts proposed on the property, 5 separate areas that were being impacted by the construction
33 of the 16 house lots. Those 5 wetland impact areas totaled a little over 5,400 square feet. A
34 wetland permit was issued for that project in 2005 but that project was never constructed, and
35 that permit has since lapsed. That is why we are here tonight. What was previously a 16-lot
36 subdivision, is now a 12-lot subdivision. As part of that, we came in with the current applicant
37 with a road that is about 300 feet shorter in length. We reduced the number of house lots on this
38 property. This is coming to the Planning Board and that application has been filed. We are
39 scheduled for a hearing next Tuesday. This has been filed as an open space preservation
40 development. So more than 50% of the site will be left as permanent open space. That is a
41 benefit of the proposal. The 2005 subdivision was also an open space subdivision. In advance of
42 our office preparing these plans, Gove Environmental Services reflagged the wetlands on site.
43 The plans we submitted, along with this application, reflect the new wetland delineation on the
44 property. We found that a few of the wetland areas have shrunk in size. That's part of the reason

DRAFT

1 why there is a reduction in the number of wetlands that we are proposing to impact with this
2 current project. What were originally 5 impact areas, we are now down to 2 impact areas, and
3 both are small. One is 125 square feet and is adjacent to the road near Brady Avenue. And then
4 for one of the house lots, we need to cross a wetland to gain access to the buildable portion of the
5 lot and that wetland impact area, which will include a culvert under the driveway. is
6 approximately 315 square feet. So, we have a total wetland impact for the two areas combined,
7 of about 440 square feet. So that is 5,000 square feet less than what was permitted originally. The
8 Commission had a site walk, but he couldn't be there, Chris York from our office was there. Mr.
9 Jordan said he hasn't heard anything from the site walk. Gove Environmental has submitted a
10 wetland permit application with the State. The purpose of tonight is for the Commission to
11 comment on the application, and if they are inclined, to offer comments to DES as part of their
12 review of our application. He showed the plan, which shows the entire property, which is 40
13 acres. We have a single subdivision road coming in, with 11 lots around it, and the 12th lot is a
14 frontage lot on Brady Avenue. He showed the wetland impacts on the plan. He discussed the
15 plan. Brendan Waldon from Gove Environmental is also here.

16
17 Chairman Isaks asked for public input.

18
19 Kaitlyn Fitzpatrick, 62 Hawkins Glen, is also an alternate member of the Conservation
20 Commission. In the wetland application, it said 11 lots, repeatedly. She is seeing lot 12, and it
21 looks like there is a water feature. That is on sheet 5 of 19. She wants to make sure that was
22 captured.

23
24 Mr. Jordan said, this is a conceptual yield plan. This is not the plan that shows what we are
25 proposing to subdivide the property today. This is something we need to include in the plan set to
26 the Planning Board to justify the 12 lots we are proposing. The 12th lot is on Brady Avenue.
27 There is no roadway planned at that location, just a frontage lot of about 2 acres. There is no
28 wetland impact associated with that.

29
30 Brendan Waldon, Gove Environmental Services, prepared the application. It is 12 lots. He
31 realized that we didn't catch the frontage lot and we will submit an amended standard application
32 and revise anywhere where it says 11 lots. That will be referenced in any permit that is issued by
33 the State.

34 Ms. Karibian asked, does that mean you will put 12 lots, not 13?

35 Mr. Jordan said, a total of 12 lots is proposed and that's it. As part of the open space subdivision
36 process, the land that we will set aside as open space, can never be subdivided again. It will
37 always be protected open space. Most of the onsite wetlands are within that protected open
38 space, and that was done intentionally.

39 Ms. Karibian asked, how much of that open space is usable?

40 Mr. Jordan said, quite a bit. It is something that we had to quantify in our application to the
41 Planning Board. 40.2 acres is the existing parcel size. 23.4 acres, or 58% will be set aside as
42 permanent open space. Of that open space, 19.2 acres is non-wetland. So, 82% of the open space
43 is non-wetland upland that will be permanently protected.

DRAFT

1 Ms. Karibian asked, do you know how the organization is going to determine how that land will
2 be used?

3 Mr. Jordan said, typically it is put in a conservation easement, but he doesn't know if it will be
4 through a homeowner's association or be dedicated to the town. We'd have to sort that out with
5 the Planning Board. It can never be further subdivided.

6
7 Mrs. Harvey said, at the site walk we raised the question of whether the road needed to be as
8 wide as it was, since it's a dead end and not that many homes. Is there any chance to take a
9 couple feet off it and have less or no impact on that one wetland area?

10 Mr. Jordan said yes that is something we can explore. He shares that opinion that on a street like
11 this, 24 feet is probably wider than necessary. Whether Public Works or Engineering will support
12 that is another story. If you want to make that as a recommendation, he can use that in support of
13 the request to the Planning Board to waive the pavement width requirement.

14
15 Mr. Edwards asked Mr. Brubaker how the hearing was advertised to the public. Was it for 11
16 lots?

17 Mr. Brubaker said it was for an 11-lot subdivision.

18 Mr. Edwards asked, is there any technicality on that?

19 Mr. Brubaker said he is not sure about the legal ramifications.

20 Mr. Edwards said it could come back here because of that.

21 Mr. Brubaker said he will investigate it.

22 Mr. Edwards asked, if the owner wanted to, can he still use the 2005 approved plan, or has it
23 expired?

24 Mr. Jordan said, the plan itself has expired so he can't use it. All the permits associated with it
25 have expired. And some regulations have changed since then.

26
27 Mr. Bettencourt said he is familiar with this project. He mentioned that in this report, it seems to
28 contradict itself in places as it pertains to threatening endangered species. In one place it says
29 there are in fact, and then another part it says there is no impact.

30 Mr. Waldron discussed the issues of endangered species. He said that part of the reason that there
31 could be any impacts considered would be relative to the potential forested areas. But the way
32 the project is designed, we are keeping a large distance from the primary area that would be
33 considered habitat, which is the vernal pool / wetland at the bottom of the page. It is a large
34 wetland area. To the south of that is another wetland complex that would be more utilized by
35 those species, if they were present. Given the roadways surrounding the area, it is likely that this
36 small residential development with the minimal wetland impacts won't have an observable effect
37 on those species.

38
39 Ms. Karibian asked, there will be no more than 12 houses built on this project?

40 Mr. Waldron said, per the proposed plan, yes.

41 Ms. Karibian said the person on the site walk mentioned a 13th house.

42 Mr. Jordan said, there are 11 lots on the plan, one of which has the existing house. And the 12th
43 lot is the standalone lot on Brady Avenue. So, there will not be 13 lots, just 12.

44

DRAFT

1 Caitlyn Fitzpatrick asked Mr. Jordan to point out on the plans, where the proposed culvert will
2 go. She read about a culvert crossing. The dredge & fill permit application, it does say that there
3 wasn't going to be any hydrology alterations. She read that there would be a culvert for one of
4 the crossings.

5 Mr. Waldron said there is no impact to the hydrologic connectivity in that area. The reason is
6 that the culvert is sized wide enough and is adequate to maintain that hydrologic connectivity.

7 Ms. Fitzpatrick asked, are there any other stormwater modifications?

8 Mr. Jordan said, as part of the overall site design, there are several stormwater areas. There are a
9 couple of bioretention areas, and he explained where they are. All the stormwater runoff from the
10 roadway is being captured and treated.

11
12 Mrs. Harvey said, the big lot towards the top, that is pie shaped, it looks like a large wetland
13 complex. Was anything spotted in that area that could give an indication that it was a vernal
14 pool?

15 Mr. Waldron said no. That large wetland is on a pretty good slope and doesn't allow for any
16 ponding that would create the characteristics of a vernal pool.

17 Mrs. Harvey asked, so there wouldn't be turtle habitat in that one?

18 Mr. Waldron said no. It is an isolated wetland, so it is not a wetland corridor. There is a passage
19 from wetland to wetland, but not somewhere that the turtles would hang out. They'll use the
20 passage from wetland systems, to go to another area.

21 Mrs. Harvey asked, so there is no pooling?

22 Mr. Waldron said, not pooling enough for a vernal pool.

23 Mrs. Harvey asked, the wetland that is down lower, is that where the turtles were spotted?

24 Mr. Waldron said, we didn't see any turtles on site. The data from the NHB report takes data
25 from Fish & Game, and NHB and says if species were observed and properly identified in the
26 vicinity which is a quarter or half mile radius.

27 Mrs. Harvey asked, is there a date when those were observed?

28 Mr. Waldron said it is in the report. What we find in those reports is that they were observed on a
29 roadside, dead. They had one in 2019, a Blanding's Turtle, and a Spotted Turtle in 2016. Those
30 are the only two in the report.

31 Mrs. Harvey asked, when you were out flagging wetlands, you didn't see any indication of
32 turtles?

33 Mr. Waldron said, we don't specifically do turtle assessments. We are not qualified to do those.
34 You must be approved by Fish & Game. He discussed how this works. In this area where it's
35 forested, we won't go out and look for eggshells. The vernal pool could potentially be habitat,
36 but it is not likely that they would use the area for development. That is his understanding of it.

37 Mrs. Harvey asked, the wetland areas in the proposed open space section, did any of those have
38 the characteristics for a vernal pool?

39 Mr. Waldron said, not that we observed. The only one that has the characteristics is the one that
40 bisects this property. In some areas he was waist deep in the water. That was in the one at the
41 bottom of the page. It is a vernal pool.

42 Mrs. Harvey asked, if that is a vernal pool, is the buffer being observed that's required by town
43 ordinance for vernal pool?

44 Mr. Waldron said he doesn't know.

DRAFT

1 Mr. Jordan said, we will look at the vernal pool issue. There were no turtles identified on site
2 either by Brendan or NHB. We are about a half mile away across several streets. While it was
3 identified as a vernal pool, that is separate from any endangered or threatened turtles.

4 Mrs. Harvey said it is also an indication that there could be critters in there, including the turtles.
5

6 Mrs. Harvey said she is looking at your drainage proposal. She thinks it's a 50-foot undisturbed
7 buffer. She is going from memory from when the ordinance was written a long time ago.

8 Mr. Jordan said, regarding the setback, we would need to understand the limits of that vernal
9 pool. The edge of the wetland doesn't represent the edge of vernal pool. We'd need to
10 understand where the vernal pool is, and then we can identify the setback. He doesn't remember
11 what the distance is.

12 Mrs. Harvey suggested that this be looked at before the Planning Board meeting.

13 Mr. Jordan said we can do that.
14

15 Mrs. Harvey said that, on the site walk, Commission members were not able to view the
16 proposed wetland impacts since there was a lot of brush. There was no trail to allow us access to
17 get back there. In the future, that really needs to be done for our site walks. Whoever the
18 developer, the engineers, or somebody, really needs to provide access for us to get back there.
19 Especially if there is poison ivy.

20 Mr. Brubaker said that, in the future, he will reach out to the applicant to provide a more
21 accessible pathway to the site.

22 Chairman Isaks said, she agreed that on the site walk we must be able to get in there and that was
23 not possible this time.
24

25 **MOTION by Mrs. Harvey to recommend approval of the Dredge and Fill application to**
26 **the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) for two possible**
27 **wetland impacts on Map 132, Lot 11635 at 89 Brady Avenue.**

28 **SECOND by Ms. Brust.**
29

30 **VOTE ON MOTION: 5 – 1 (Mr. Bettencourt opposed.)**
31

32 **MOTION by Mrs. Harvey that we recommend to the Planning Board that the access road**
33 **be narrowed to lessen, or possibly eliminate, the impact caused by the road on Wetland**
34 **Impact #1.**

35 **SECOND by Mr. Bettencourt.**
36

37 Mr. Edwards asked, did we want to include anything about the vernal pool, to bring it to the
38 attention of the Planning Board?

39 Mrs. Harvey said she attend the Planning Board meeting.
40

41 **VOTE ON MOTION: 6 – 0**

42 **UNANIMOUS**
43

DRAFT

1 Chairman Isaks introduced Crayton Brubaker who is the Community Development Program
2 Manager.

3
4 **OTHER COMMISSION BUSINESS**

5
6 1. Review of Minutes

7
8 **MOTION by Ms. Karibian to accept the minutes of the June 7, 2023 meeting, as printed.**
9 **SECOND by Ms. Brust.**

10
11 **VOTE ON MOTION: 5 – 0 – 1 (Mr. Edwards abstained.)**

12
13 2. Town Forest

14
15 Mr. Edwards said that the Southeast Land Trust (SELT), who holds the restriction on the Town
16 Forest, monitors the forest every year and looks for possible encroachments and changes in the
17 Town Forest, and keeps the Commission up to date. If we do OK, we usually get a check for
18 about \$400 each year, which is very helpful. Mr. Brubaker was out there with them on their site
19 walk on June 13, 2023. Mr. Edwards also ran into them while he was there. He was hoping they
20 would be there tonight. When we get the report, when there is an encroachment, it is good to
21 pass it on to the Town Code Enforcement Officer, so things can be dealt with in a timely fashion.
22 Regarding the Salem Town Forest cleanup, there were questions about why he recommended
23 October 28th. In terms of the trails, clippings, and trash, he finds that they are in pretty good
24 shape. We must deal with flooding issues separately. Ruth made an excellent point that we may
25 have site visits that day. We could do October 21st or November 4th. That would work for Mr.
26 Edwards. He didn't see the point to do it now, especially given the current weather with
27 flooding. He mentioned the flooding of the stream that comes out of the Town Forest. The water
28 flowing through the forest is quite the change from all the rain. Also with Town Forest cleanup,
29 he did update all of Trail K. He clipped that and picked up trash, to make it passable. One
30 problem with a couple trails over there, is that they don't get as much use since they are so far
31 from the parking area. He wishes more people would walk it to keep the grass from growing over
32 it. The number of volunteers is only about 3 people. He asked if anyone wanted to help with
33 cleaning up Town Forest. If everyone picks up more trash out there, we wouldn't have the
34 problems that we have had. If they want to get more involved, contact Mr. Brubaker at Town
35 Hall.

36 Chairman Isaks said it would be better if people don't leave their trash to begin with.

37 Mr. Edwards said, unfortunately it happens anyway.

38 Mrs. Harvey said, if you pick up the trash, don't leave it in the parking area. That's the other
39 thing we are seeing.

40 Mr. Edwards said, it is all volunteer cleanup.

41 Chairman Isaks said, if the trails aren't being used, then maybe they shouldn't be concerned
42 about maintaining them. Maybe we should let them weed out.

43 Mr. Edwards said that is a possibility. The two trails he is talking about basically end at
44 Hummingbird Lane. They don't do a loop.

DRAFT

1 Mrs. Harvey asked how many trails end there.

2 Mr. Edwards said, J & K end there, and L goes by it with a loop. Ruth's suggestion about
3 discontinuing them is something to consider. We don't have a parking area over there. We tried
4 but it wasn't included when the subdivision was permitted. We did have a new volunteer,
5 Claudia, who agreed to clear the brush. She finished the remainder of Trail L. We still have the
6 flooding problem that came up at the last meeting. Water levels are higher than they have been.
7 With the water levels higher, there is at least a 6-foot shortfall from the where the bridge starts to
8 where the land is. We want to have a safe, enjoyable place for people to walk. Trail L is the
9 second major trail. Trail A and Trail L are the two biggest trails in the forest. Maybe we could
10 have Ron Klemarczyk look at it again if he still does that work. Mr. Edwards explained where
11 the flooding was.

12

13 There was a discussion of this trail.

14

15 Mr. Edwards said we should keep that on the agenda. Also, a project that was asked for awhile
16 ago was, he did GPS the locations of all the drainage pipes under Trail A and he still needs to do
17 the one under Trail L. He will get that to Mr. Vogl, who is the Town's GIS Manager.

18

19 Mr. Bettencourt said, if we can get more citizens to enjoy the Town Forest, they will fall in love
20 with it. He encouraged people to check it out. The two things that are most annoying are when
21 the brush gets a little overgrown and there are more ticks. And the drainage issue. He appreciates
22 that Mr. Edwards is on top of that.

23 Chairman Isaks said, the other thing is the kiosks.

24 Mr. Edwards said, in the minutes, it was brought up that you would ask about the Boy Scouts
25 who are doing a project with plexiglass in the kiosk on the rail trail. Could that be extended if we
26 could approve funds?

27 Mrs. Harvey said that Mr. Sorenson is coordinating that project. When he is here next week, ask
28 him about that.

29 Mr. Edwards said, the plexiglass on the parking lot takes a lot of sun and it is difficult to read
30 through it now. It is hard to see the rules through it, and the one on the inside has been damaged.
31 There are two kiosks. The interior one has a hole in the plexiglass, and the one in the parking lot
32 is difficult to read because of weathering. Once we have a price, it will be brought to the
33 commission for the price and approval to get it done. He asked Mr. Brubaker to contact Mr.
34 Sorenson.

35 Mr. Brubaker said he will do that.

36

37 3. Conservation Fund Report

38

39 Ms. Brust said, as of May 2023, the interest income for the 3 accounts is \$5,853.27. We did have
40 \$7,000 come in for a land use change tax. We made two payments totaling \$1,975.00. \$1,880
41 was to Upton & Hatfield, and \$95.00 was to Rockingham Signs for the conservation banner. The
42 total in the 3 accounts is \$1,677,328.18.

43

44 **MOTION by Mr. Bettencourt to accept the report.**

DRAFT

1 **SECOND by Ms. Karibian.**

2
3 **VOTE ON MOTION: 6 – 0**
4 **UNANIMOUS**

5
6 4. Conservation Commission Goals

7
8 Chairman Isaks asked about butterfly conservation, the banner, and Valentine Park
9 improvements.

10
11 Mrs. Harvey said, regarding the butterfly conservation project on the rail corridor, there is
12 milkweed growing on one section close to the old Tuscan Market area. We planted butterfly
13 bushes last year. Both bushes barely survived the winter. She doubts that they will get flowers
14 this year. She has been on the rail corridor every few days for quite a while, and she has only
15 seen a few white butterflies. She has seen hardly any bees. Our pollinators just don't seem to be
16 around, especially the butterflies. If we don't get monarchs, there is no reason to keep the
17 milkweed around.

18
19 Chairman Isaks asked if there is any update on Valentine Park.

20 Ms. Brust said she is supposed to meet there at the end of this week with Belko, to go over the
21 layout of the beds. From there, she will talk with DPW to figure out their timeline with the
22 parking lot. Regarding the banner, we have one long one to hold, and she is waiting for either
23 Mr. Brubaker or Jacob to order the one that rolls.

24
25 Chairman Isaks mentioned the open space area map.

26 Mr. Brubaker showed a map of open spaces in Salem.

27 Chairman Isaks asked Ms. Brust to discuss the wildlife corridor project.

28 Ms. Brust said that Chairman Isaks, Mr. Edwards and herself, did a project on the wildlife
29 corridor. With the open space map, you can see some of the areas we are working on with the
30 corridor and how it lines up with the Town's open space. We were looking at Pelham Road.
31 There is a green blob and coming out of the Town Forest along Bluff Street and Lake Street,
32 there is another section that has high habitat. And, along the whole Spicket River, coming out of
33 the Meadow Glen, Lawrence Road, Bridge Street, Michele Park area, is a highly traveled
34 corridor. We created a GIS story map that will come out soon. If you see any wildlife, you can
35 upload a photo and where you are in Town, so then we can track where the animals are, and see
36 if it does line up where the State corridor is. She explained what the colors on the map mean.

37 Mrs. Harvey also discussed what the colors on the map represent.

38 Chairman Isaks said, the corridor project is the end extension for New Hampshire for the
39 corridor project, which runs through the entire State of New Hampshire, up to the Canadian
40 border. This is to have areas that the wildlife can move to. The wildlife can't stay stationary
41 because of food supply and shelter. They need to be able to have variations in terms of breeding
42 and reproduction. The area needs to meet all three of those for the wildlife to survive. This was
43 our part of this, being right at the base of the Massachusetts connection.

DRAFT

1 Mrs. Harvey said, on the map, orange is the current use. At the southwest corner, you will see the
2 project that we heard about tonight. When it goes under construction, we will get more of the
3 land use change tax from that.

4 Chairman Isaks asked, what implication will that have for our corridor project?

5 Mrs. Harvey said she is just making the comment.

6 Ms. Brust said that Don Marotte had shared photos with her, and others had too. Regarding the
7 wildlife corridor project, we are waiting to hear back from the Town Council regarding the
8 wildlife cameras. She asked Mr. Brubaker to ask Jacob about wildlife cameras.

9 Mr. Brubaker said he will speak with Jacob about that.

10 Chairman Isaks said, there has recently been some work in the legislature and bills that were
11 passed regarding wildlife cameras. We need to know what those specific bills are that recently
12 passed. She thinks the bills have to do with wildlife cameras and hunting.

13 Mr. Bettencourt said, most of the legislation deals with wildlife cameras as they pertain to
14 enforcement actions. It is mostly to prevent people from hunting out of season or inappropriately.

15

16 Mr. Edwards said, as part of the wildlife discussion, we want to make people realize that they
17 can help with how they do their yards. One thing that he was told was, if land is healthy enough
18 for wildlife, then it's healthy enough for me. If it is not healthy for wildlife, then how healthy is
19 it for us? It's a reminder that this is a good place for us to live too.

20

21 Mr. Bettencourt said, one thing the Chairman of the Town Council asks at the end of every Town
22 Council meeting is, if there are any issues that we want to raise, as we serve on our respective
23 boards. He has the wildlife cameras that Georgia mentioned. But if there is anything you feel he
24 should bring to the Council's attention, don't hesitate to let him know.

25 Chairman Isaks said, also if there is something coming up at the Town Council that we should be
26 aware of, to let the Commission know.

27 Mr. Bettencourt said he got updates on the wastewater treatment plant. The Town is putting
28 forward a strong effort to bring that project to fruition and, hopefully, a successful conclusion.

29 Mrs. Harvey asked if cooking the soil is working?

30 Mr. Bettencourt said, said he will get an update on that next week. That is what they are going to
31 go with, and they are confident that will do the trick. He is not sure what the timeline is on that.
32 It won't disrupt the operations at SARL.

33 Chairman Isaks asked, will there be air monitoring?

34 Mr. Bettencourt said yes.

35

36 Mrs. Harvey said regarding that, that is an environmentally sensitive area. She knows the Town
37 wants to sell it and she was one of the people who looked at the last bid. One of the proposals
38 was not the best, from an environmental perspective. The Spicket River goes through there, and
39 we have a 100-foot prime wetland buffer on that. The Spicket River is also one of the protected
40 shoreland properties, so there is a 250-foot buffer. By the time you put those buffers in along the
41 river, it doesn't leave a lot of room for development. One of the projects that came in had a plan
42 that showed where those buffers were, and they kept their proposed building out of that area. She
43 would suggest that you speak with Chris Goodnow to see that plan. She doesn't want to see that

DRAFT

1 property sold. She thinks the Town should hold onto it and use it for recreational purposes. That
2 is her opinion. Or if anything, subdivide it off and get those sections out of what is sold.

3 Mr. Bettencourt said he thinks we will take this process one step at a time. The first step is to
4 clean up that property. That property, with the chemicals in the ground is a hazard. Once that
5 process is over with, there will be a lot of discussion and deliberation about what we do in the
6 future, and he imagines that this group will have some say in that.

7 Mrs. Harvey said she would hope so.

8 Ms. Karibian said the important thing is that people need to pay attention to all the boards and
9 the agendas and get to the meetings.

10

11 **MOTION by Mr. Bettencourt to adjourn.**

12 **SECOND by Ms. Karibian**

13

14 **VOTE ON MOTION: 6 – 0**

15 **UNANIMOUS**

16

17 The meeting adjourned around 8:20 p.m.

18

19 Minutes by: Susan Strugnell, Conservation Commission Recording Secretary

20 Approved: Conservation Commission

21 Date: