

**Town-Wide Photogrammetric
Mapping Project
Town of Salem, NH
RFQ (2019-006)**

**Addendum No. 1
January 18, 2019**

The following questions have been posed to GIS Manager John Vogl by email since the release of this RFP. Answers are provided in **bold** font.

Question 1. If it turns out flying a LiDAR capture to include 2ft Contours is cheaper than creating them from stereo imagery, would that be a consideration for you?

A: The Town is open to the use of LiDAR. Please document this as an “Alternative Approach”

Question 2. On Page 5, 4.4, The vertical datum is stated to be National American Vertical Datum of 1929 (NAVD): did you mean?:

- a. National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD) or*
- b. North American Vertical Datum if 1988 (NAVD)*

A: The Town is requesting NGVD 29

Question 3. If the Town elects to go with the 2” pixel option for the digital orthophotography, is the GIS mapping scale still to meet 1”=100’ scale (ASPRS Specifications)?

A: The Town is desiring 1”=100’ planimetrics in both scenarios.

Question 4. With our orthorectified capture we can’t do 2”, but we can do 3” . . . would you be interested in seeing the optional cost for a 3” vs. 4” flight – both ortho only and ortho/oblique?

A: We are interested in the best quality orthos possible. You are welcome to submit 3” as an alternative per Section 6 Alternative Approaches.

Question 5. The RFP indicates registered mail is preferred, would Salem be acceptable to a FedEx delivery?

A: FedEx delivery is acceptable to us.