



TOWN OF SALEM, NEW HAMPSHIRE

33 GEREMONTY DRIVE, SALEM, NH 03079

(603) 890-2120 · FAX: (603) 890-2220

OFFICE OF THE TOWN MANAGER

Joseph R. Devine, Town Manager

March 12, 2025

Ms. Samantha Smith

[REDACTED]

VIA EMAIL: [REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Smith:

The Town of Salem is in receipt of your request for documents under NH RSA 91-A, which we received on March 6, 2025.

As you know, in accordance with NH RSA 91-A:4, IV(b-1-3), the Town of Salem shall, within five business days, “make such record available, deny the request (to include a brief explanation of how the exemption applies to the record withheld), or provide a written statement of the time reasonably necessary to determine whether the request shall be granted or denied and the reason for the delay.” Please consider this letter as fulfillment of your request.

In your request, you specifically requested the following:

All email communication between Mr. Robert Morin to Police Chief Joel Dolan on December 20th, 2024.

Enclosed is the redacted email from December 20, 2024. This should satisfy your request with the Town of Salem. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me at 603-685-6421.

Sincerely,

Madeleine Baptiste

Madeleine Baptiste
Right To Know Specialist

Devine, Joseph

From: Rob Morin [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, December 20, 2024 3:46 PM
To: Dolan, Joel
Cc: Devine, Joseph
Subject: MRI investigation

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Salem. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Thanks Salem IT Department

Good afternoon, Joel

I am in receipt of your letter dated 12/17/24.

First, I feel the need to correct your assertion that I alleged that Shane tipped his kids off. Maverick's investigation clearly stated there was no evidence to support that, but there was, despite what the AG said, reasonable suspicion that further investigation could reveal probable cause that the crime of Official Oppression had occurred.

No one believes nor is it reasonable to believe that [REDACTED] communicated with her children through smoke signal or mental telepathy. It is indisputable that [REDACTED] was parked at the top of [REDACTED] contemporaneously with SPD's response to [REDACTED] and there is no valid or reasonable explanation, [REDACTED]

Neither MRI nor Maverick have the ability to subpoena cell records, more importantly, neither of us are able to order a forensic extraction on those phones. I'm going to give you the benefit of the doubt that you know that iPhone to iPhone texts messages will not show up on a phone bill nor will applications such as WhatsApp and Telegram. The only way to recover texts, if they exist is through a forensic extraction. Let's not forget what I do for a living.

While I agree that MRI could only determine a finding of Unfounded, due to the evidence they could recover, it's important to note that Unfounded and Exonerated are not the same thing.

While you may consider the matter closed, I do not. However, if [REDACTED] would like to produce her phone for a forensic extraction, limited to the date and time of interest, Maverick will do it free of charge. Otherwise suspicion will continue to exists and for good reason.

Please consider this writing a formal request for the MRI report. I think it goes without saying, a heavily redacted document will not satisfy me. I want to read what possible explanation [REDACTED] gave for [REDACTED] on June 28 at 11:20 PM. I also want to see the final bill from MRI, along with your explanation justifying, what I'm guessing is at least 8k, for something you could have and should have done yourself.

I'll remind you that the purpose of an internal investigation is to see if any department/town policies were violated. Shane may not have had prior knowledge but he most assuredly knew after the fact and still did and said nothing.

If you want to back your boy and state that he immediately reported it to you, I will not only have difficulty believing that, but will also question why you did exactly nothing until your hand was forced. I will also demand to see the documentation that supports the fictitious assertion.

Let's not forget that I tried to give you a heads up before Maverick was hired, and while you may not like the fact that I'm still very much around, I assure you I'm not going anywhere.

I hope the next time I try to give you the heads up, you will have the courtesy to hear me out. The department wide training, [REDACTED] is a direct result of my investigation and it didn't need to be that way.

As I said, Unfounded is the only finding that can be determined, but please don't insult my intelligence and work product. Some serious bs happened here and you should want to know exactly what it was.

I look forward to reading the in-redacted MRI report.

Rob

Sent from my iPhone